

#### This paper not to be cited without prior reference to the authors

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

CM 1968/K:12 Shellfish and Benthos Committee

# Interim report on investigations into gill disease of Portuguese oysters

Ъy

B. Howell, D. Key and R. Shotton Fisherics Laboratory, Burnham-on-Crouch, Essex

storierschangeanois Bibliothek Fischerol, Hambal

#### Introduction and method

During February 1968 notification was received from French biologists of a disease which appeared to be the cause of abnormally high mortalities of Portuguese oysters in the oyster producing basins of the French Atlantic coast. The mortalities appeared to be associated with damage to the gills. As a result of these reports British oyster stocks were examined to see if there was evidence of the presence of the disease in this country.

Samples from a number of consignments of <u>Crassostrea</u> angulata imported by private merchants from Portugal and from France since April 1966 were examined for gill damage. In addition, Brittany oysters (<u>Ostrea</u> edulis) imported by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (M.A.F.F.) during April 1967, and small samples of <u>Ostrea</u> edulis and <u>Crassostrea</u> gigas, reared at the Conway Laboratory, North Wales, were also examined.

To assist in the recording of data obtained from the examination of these oysters and to maintain a standard for comparison, the following classification of gill damage has been devised. These categories, however, are arbitrary and are not intended to represent successive stages of a single destructive agency.

Light damage Gills showing no signs of active degeneration with the margin entire though irregular. Indentations in the gill surface not penetrating more than half the width of the gill and with not more than a total of five indentations on all gills and not more than three on any one gill.

Platos A and B show gills which are slightly damaged; the former showing a slight but marked indentation of a single gill and the latter marked undulations of the gill margin. <u>Moderate damage</u> Gills showing no signs of active degeneration with the margin entire though irregular. One or more indentations of the gills extending beyond half the width of the gill or more than five "light" indentations on all gills or more than three on any one gill (plates C and D).

<u>Heavy damage</u> Gill edge ill-defined and tattered with degenerating gill tissue, but less than half total gill area eroded away.

Plate E shows an oyster with heavy damage and also with areas of decaying tissue bordered by an area of yellow discoloration. Also with the yellowed area on the underside of the mantle.

<u>Sovere damago</u> Gill cdge ill-defined and tattered with degenerating tissue. Nore than half of the total gill tissue missing.

Plate F is an example of an oyster in this condition. This oyster also displayed numerous yellow pustules on the body.

# Results of Examination

1. Occurrence of gill damage

(a) <u>Typical damage</u>

The results of all examinations made are shown fully in Table 1. All samples examined contained some cysters with gill damage though in the majority of cases this was predominantly of the light and moderate types (plates A-D) with no active breakdown of gill tissue being apparent, the margins of the gills were entire and all tissue appeared healthy under the microscope. Only the two samples from Emsworth and sample D of Tagus cysters imported into the Blackwater in 1967 showed substantial proportions of heavy and severe damage (plates E-H). The Emsworth cysters were imported from France during Spring 1967.

It became apparent during the examination of the oysters that such features as protrusions in the shell in the region of the gills produced irregularities in gill shape. It is believed also that certain organisms such as the pea-crab, <u>Pinnotheres</u> sp. can cause gill damage. It would appear therefore that there is a background incidence of gill damage which has no connection with disease. The fact that small samples of <u>Ostrea edulis</u> and <u>Crassostrea gigas</u> reared at the Conway laboratory contained 50 per cent with light damage further suggests that there may also be, under some conditions, a larger incidence of gill damage due to causes other than disease.

(b) Occurrence of yellow discoloration on gill tissue

Decaying gill tissue bordered by an area of yellow discoloration, as clearly shown in photograph E, was observed in the Emsworth sample examined on 26 March when one oyster displayed this symptom and in the Blackwater A (1967) Tagus oysters, where 25 our of a sample of 200 oysters (12.5 per cent) showed this feature to varying degrees. In this latter sample the extent of

discoloration and decay varied from a more pin point of yellowed tissue to large areas of brown degenerating gill tissue bordered by a yellowed area (plate F) giving the impression of a sequence which started with the invasion of the gill at one point which then extended to adjacent gill tissue.

By 30 April some other samples from the rivers Blackwater and Roach had shown this condition and it appeared significant that all these samples had been stored either dry or in tanks of sea water for a period of days before examination.

To determine the effect of dry storage on the condition of the gills, an experiment was conducted with Portuguese oysters imported by M.A.F.F. in 1967. Five batches of 50 oysters were packed in polythene bags and stored dry in the laboratory. A further 65 oysters from the same sample were examined on arrival at the laboratory and the amount and extent of gill damage was recorded. Each batch of 50 was opened and examined at intervals during a period of 20 days following the start of the experiment. Heavy mortalities had occurred by the fifteenth day and the two remaining samples were combined and placed in a tank of running sea water and examined on the twentieth day.

There was no consistent increase in the amount or extent of gill damage during the course of the experiment, though the last sample examined contained 16 per cent with areas of yollow discoloration on the gill tissue (Table 2).

A further experiment to test the effect of storing cysters was started on 2 May. Oysters were stored (i) in acrated sea water, (ii) out of water, (iii) for varying periods out of water and then immersed in sea water for a further 5 days. The results of this experiment, shown in Table 3, indicated that no significant change in either the per cent damage or yellow discoloration occurred.

On 1 May a further sample of M.A.F.F. 1967 Tagus imports was examined and 28 per cent showed varying degrees of gill damage and 3 per cent of the sample showed gills with yellow discoloration and decaying gill tissue. Proviously these oysters had shown only 14 per cent with light damage and had not displayed any yellow discoloration. Later samples from this population have shown a lower incidence of damage and no discoloration in the gills.

It is clear from these experiments that Portuguese oysters can be kept out of water for many days without any increase in the incidence of damage to the gills, but when the oysters are approaching death damage to the gills can occur, including the form which shows yollow discoloration.

The latest indications are that the yellow symptoms occur when water temperatures are fairly low, samples containing yellow discoloration of the

gill having so far only been obtained when river temperatures have been between  $8^{\circ}$ C and  $13^{\circ}$ C.

From observations on yellow discoloured gills it appears that the accumulation of leucocytes in the damaged area may be the cause of the discoloration.

Black pigmentation often occurs along the gill margin of healthy oysters but there does not appear to be any association between this pigmentation and discase.

(c) Gills with separated filaments

In addition to the typical damage found in oyster gills as shown in plates A to D, there also occurred oysters with gills having single or multiple separation of gill filaments down to the base, in some cases heavily damaged, (plates F to H) and these were included in the numbers shown in Table 1.

# II Functioning of damaged gills

Damaged gills which did not show yellow discoloration were examined to discover if they could behave in a normal manner, by observing the gills in situ after removing the flat valve.

Damaged gill edges often appeared to be able to function in carrying material towards the meuth even though the oral groove had disappeared. In some instances material was seen to drop to an undamaged gill below the damaged one, but in others the damaged gill edge appeared to take on the function of the oral groove.

Oysters with yellow discoloration did not show this ability to transfer material over the damaged portions. It is thought that oysters recovering from the discase may be able to re-establish an oral tract on the damaged edges.

## III Histology and Culture

Tissues which showed yellow discoloration and those showing damage but no discoloration have been examined for causative organisms by the following methods.

1. Zenkers fixative.

Tissues teased and treated as follows, but not sectioned.

(a) K O H (conc.)

(b) (i) 70 per cent alcohel and iodine

(ii) KOH (conc.)

2. Bouin's fixative

Tissues sectioned and stained with

- (a) Delafield's Haematoxylin/Eosin
- (b) Harris' Haematoxylin/Eosin

Table 1. Details of oyster samples examined for gill damage -

• • • •	Source	Year imported	Pits/Lays	ts/Lays Date of dispatch	Date Sam examined siz	Sample size	% Cill	% Gill damage				: • :				
		-					Without yellow discoloration			With yellow discoloration						
							Light	Noderate	Heavy	Severe	Total	Light	lloderate	Heavy	Severe	Total
Roach A Roach B Blackwater A	Sado Tagus Sado	1967 1967 1968	Lays Lays Lays	23 March 1968	26 Harch 1968	200 200 200	12.0 14.0 1.0	0 0 5•5	0 0 0.5	0 0 0.5	12.0 14.0 7.5					0 0 0
Blackwater B	Tagus	1968	1 week Lays	26 March 1968	27 Harch 1968	200	3.0	4.0	1.0	0	8.0	•.				0
Blackwater C	Tagus	1966	Lays	26 March 1968	29 March 1968	200	16.5	15.5	1.0	0	33.0					0
Blackwater D	Tagus	1967	Lays (5 days in sink)	- 26 March 1968	1 April 1968	199	11.0	5.5	0.5	1.0	18.0	5.0	0	7.5	0	12.5
Emsworth Orford Blackwater B Roach C Roach D	France Tagus Tagus Sado Sado	1967 1967 1968 1968 1968	Lays Lays Lays New Import Lays	19 March 1968 31 March 1968 5 April 1968 5 April 1968 5 April 1968	26 March 1968 2 April 1968 5 April 1968 5 April 1968 5 April 1968	54 100 188 100 100	5.5 29.0 12.5 7.0 21.0	11.0 8.0 2.5 1.0 4.0	7.4 1.0 0 0	7.4 0 0 0	31.3 38.0 15.0 8.0 25.0	0	, 0 /	2.0	0	2.0 0 0 0
Roach C Roach D Emsworth Blackwater E	Sado Sado France Setubal	1960 1966 1967 1967	New Import Lays Lays Pits	5 April 1968 5 April 1968 21 April 1968 25 April 1968	9 April 1968 9 April 1968 24 April 1968 25 April 1968	100 100 56 17	0.0 16.0 25.0 23.5	7.0 16.0	0 9.0 0	0 2.0 0	25.0 52.0 23.5	0	2 12	0 6	0 0	2.0 0 18.0
Blackwater F	Sado	1968	Lays 3 weeks	25 April 1968	26 April 1968	50	16.0	4.0	0	0	20.0	2	2	0	0	4.0
Blackwater F Roach B O. edulis C. gigas O. edulis Roach B Roach B Blackwater D Ensworth R. Helford	Sado Tagus Conway Brittany Tagus Tagus Tagus France Tagus	1968 1967 1967 1967 1967 1967 1967 1968	Tanks 4 days " Lays Trays Trays Pits Lays Lays Lays Lays Lays Lays	25 April 1968 1 May 1968 28 March 1968 5 June 1968 8 July 1968 26 July 1968	30 April 1968 2 May 1968 3 April 1968 3 April 1968 2 April 1968 10 June 1968 9 July 1968 25 July 1968 29 July 1968 9 May 1968	50 250 10 150 152 205 215 205 215 200	24.0 17.0 50.0 50.0 11.0 7.9 5.8 6.5 17.7 9.0	4.0 8.0 0 0 3.9 7.8 9.3 7.6 5.0	0 0.4 0 0 0.7 2.9 0.9 6.3 0.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28.0 25.4 50.0 50.0 11.0 12.5 17.0 16.7 31.6 14.5	0	0	3	0	0 3.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

- (c) Periodic acid Schiff technique
- (d) Heidenhain's Hacmatoxylin
- 3. Staining for fungal hyphae Lactophonol/cotton blue
- 4. Culture techniques
  - (a) Thioglycolate medium (specific for <u>Dermocystidium marinum</u>)
  - (b) Agar/sea water for fungi
  - (c) Yeast/peptone in sea water
  - (d) Nutrient agar standard bacteria test

None of the above techniques has revealed any positive causative organism of the disease.

Hr. D. Alderman, of the Portsmouth College of Technology, has made tests for fungi from material supplied from this laboratory and by Dr. Marteil in France. Tests using thioglycolate medium have been made at the Portsmouth and Burnham-on-Crouch laboratories but these have not produced the characteristic results expected when Dermocystidium is present.

Mr. Aldorman has isolated a fungal hypha from diseased tissue but it is thought likely to be a secondary infection, and re-infection experiments have yet to be made.

## Discussion and conclusion

It cannot be said with certainty that any of the symptoms described here have arisen from the same cause that has resulted in heavy mortalities among Portuguese cysters in France; however it is significant that the Portuguese cysters imported from France and laid at Emsworth on the south coast of England in 1967, which might be expected to be carrying the disease, showed the highest incidence of "heavy" and "severe" gill damage, suggesting that the disease is already in England. However similar gill damage was also found among Portuguese cysters imported from Portugal in 1967, and laid in the river Roach and the Blackwater on the east coast.

There have been no reports of above average mortalities among Portuguese oysters during 1967 or 1968.

Results of oyster storage experiments Table 2 Experiment started 4 April 1968

Sample	Storage	No.	% showing damage							
	period (days)		Light	Moderate	Heavy	Severe	Yellow	Total		
Original	0	65	18.5					18.5		
I	4	50	18.0	12.0	4.0	2.0	: -	36.0		
II	7	50	16.0	4.0	<b>-</b>	-		20.0		
III	12	50	14.0	4.0				18.0		
IV	)	55	14.0	10.0	_	_ ·	16.0	40.0		
V	)						(heavy)			

	Table	3	Experiment	started	1	May	1968
--	-------	---	------------	---------	---	-----	------

Sample	Storag	ge period	No. of	% showing damage						
	Dry	In water	oysters	Light	Moderate -	Heavy	Severe	Yellow*	Total	
I (	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	50 50 50 50 50	16.0 12.0 14.0 20.0 24.0	10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 6.0	- 2.0 - -	 - - - -	2.0(h) 4.0(h) 2.0(h) 4.0(h) 2.0(h)	28.0) 26.0) 24.0) 28.5 32.0) 32.0)	
II III	0 5	5 0	81 100	12.3 13.0	6.2 8.0	- 1.0		2.0(s) 4.0(h)	21.5 26.0	
IV V	5 0	+ 5 10	109 82	13.8 8.5	2.8 3.7		-	3.9(h) 4.9(h)	20.7 17.1	
VI	10	0	100	8.0	8.0	1.0	-	1.0(l) 4.0(h)	22.0	
VII VIII IX	10 0 15	+ 5 15 0	98 74 100	19.0 23.0 23.0	8.0 5.5 6.0	3.0 1.0		1.0(m) 5.5(h) 1.0(1)	31.0 34.0 31.0	
X XI XII	15 0 20	+ 5 20 0	ALL DEAD 79 ALL DEAD	15.2	3.8			2.5(h)	21.5	

.

15

٠

\*1 = light m = moderate h = heavy s = severe

1901

•

•

• • • •



A



С



D





Ε





Н